

Docket No.: 95-320



PATENT

AF  
1 for

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of

**EXPEDITED PROCEDURE**

**UNDER 37 CFR §1.116**

KRISHNA

Serial No.: 09/618,291

Group Art Unit: 2154

Filed: July 18, 2000

Examiner: DONAGHUE, Larry D

Corres. and Mail  
**BOX AF**

For: FLOW CONTROL ARRANGEMENT IN A NETWORK SWITCH BASED ON  
PRIORITY TRAFFIC

**RESPONSE AFTER FINAL**

**MAILSTOP AF**

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Final Action mailed December 10, 2004, Applicant hereby submits the following remarks.

Reconsideration and allowance of the above-referenced application are respectfully requested. Claims 1, 3-12, and 14-17 are unchanged and remain pending in the application.


Claims 1, 3, 12-13 and 18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) over U.S. Patent Application Pub. No. 2003/0133406 A1 to Fawaz, with claims 4-11 and 14-17 rejected under §103(a) over Fawaz. These rejections are respectfully traversed. The arguments submitted November 18, 2004 are incorporated in their entirety herein by reference. The following comments elaborate on those arguments and address the Examiner's arguments in the Final Action.

The independent claims 1 and 12 each specify that each network switch port includes a port filter configured for determining the corresponding priority for the corresponding data frame

Response After Final Filed February 8, 2005

Appln. 09/618,291

Page 1



<b>REPLY/AMENDMENT FEE TRANSMITTAL</b>	Attorney Docket No.	95-320	
	Application Number	09/618,291	
	Filing Date	July 18, 2000	
	First Named Inventor	KRISHNA	
	Group Art Unit	2154	
AMOUNT ENCLOSED	\$ 0	Examiner Name	DONAGHUE, Larry D

**FEE CALCULATION** (fees effective 10/01/2001)

CLAIMS AS AMENDED	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid For	Number Extra	Rate	Calculations
TOTAL CLAIMS	15	20	0 <sup>(3)</sup>	X \$18.00 =	\$0
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	2	2	0	X \$84.00 =	\$0
Since an Official Action set an original due date of _____, petition is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month (\$110); 2 months (\$420); 3 months (\$950); 4 months (\$1,480); 5 months (\$2,010)):					\$0
If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$110)					\$0
Total of above Calculations =					\$0
Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)					-
<b>TOTAL FEES DUE =</b>					<b>\$0</b>
<small>(1) If entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is "0". (2) If entry (2) is less than 20, change entry (2) to "20". (4) If entry (4) is less than entry (5), entry (6) is "0". (5) If entry (5) is less than 3, change entry (5) to "3".</small>					

**METHOD OF PAYMENT**

- ☐ Check enclosed as payment.
- ☐ Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No., below.

**AUTHORIZATION**

- ☒ If the above-noted "AMOUNT ENCLOSED" is not correct, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 necessary to maintain pendency of the present application to:

Deposit Account No.: 50-0687

OrderNo.: (Client/Matter) 95-320

**SUBMITTED BY: MANELLI DENISON & SELTER PLLC**

Typed Name	Leon R. Turkevich	Reg. No.	34,035
Signature		Date	February 8, 2005